Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/911,052	HIERZER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3781	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>final</u>	
(1) Robin A. Hylton.	(3) <u>Corinne Gorski</u>	(of Nixon Peabody, LLP).	
(2) John Knoble (of Knoble Yoshida & Dunleavy, Ll	<u>LC)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	· .	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
n/a			
Claims discussed: 1,5-7,9,11-12, 21, and 22		·	
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance	written summary of the substant of the interview, since the interview	ce
	·		
•			
·	<u> </u>	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed changes to the claims to place in the application in condition for allowance could not be authorized since the application has been transferred to a law firm not currently of record and no revocation and new power of attorney has been received by the USPTO.

## Hylton, Robin

From: John L. Knoble [jknoble@patentwise.com]

Sent: Monday, December 04, 2006 7:23 PM

To: Hylton, Robin; Mr. John L. Knoble; mwebster@nixonpeabody.com

Subject: Re: case CCK-0057

## Dear Examiner Hylton,

We were asked to transfer this file several weeks ago to <u>Mary Webster</u> at the Nixon Peabody firm. We sent her our file by courier on October 13, 2006. I am sure that she will be filing a revocation/new power of attorney document in the near future, and I am copying her on this e-mail so that she can respond to your proposal.

Best Regards,

## Hylton, Robin wrote:

Mr. Knoble,

I would like to make the following suggestions in the above application (09/911

- cancel claims 5,6,9 as they are redundant (limitations are now in amend
- \* change dependency of claim 7 to claim 1
- \* in claims 1, 11-13,21 and 22, add "at least one" with reference to the

I must move this application from my amended docket this week. Thus, I would li

Robin Hylton Primary Examiner, GAU 3727 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Edmund Randolph Building, Room 9A29 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria VA 22314 571-272-4540

John L. Knoble, Esq.
KNOBLE YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY, LLC
Eight Penn Center Suite 1350
1628 John F. Kennedy Boulevard
Philadelphia, PA 19103 USA
Tel. (215) 599-0600
Fax (215) 599-0601
www.patentwise.com

This message may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. Improper interception of this message anywhere in the world would be punishable as a Federal Crime in the United States under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. If you have received this message in error, you are advised to immediately delete the message including any attachment(s) from your computer, your mail server and all archival media, and to promptly notify us at the address listed above so that additional corrective action may be considered. Unauthorized disclosure of confidential business information may be punishable as a Federal Crime in the United States under the Economic Espionage Act of 1996, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1831-1839.